Imre Kifor

Newton, MA 02464
ikifor@gmail.com
I have no phone
I have no valid driver's license
I have to move to a homeless shelter
https://femfas.net

December 13, 2023

Sen. Elizabeth Warren
309 Hart Senate OB
Washington, DC 20510

Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez
250 Cannon House OB
Washington, DC 20515

American Civil Liberties Union
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor
New York, NY 10004

Predatory Feminism Meticulously Ends In "Equity For The Rich Vs. Equity For The Poor Mother (And Her Children)" Dilemma As "Equity For All" Is Impossible By Marxist Design

Dear Senator Warren, Dear Representative Ocasio-Cortez, Dear ACLU,

Continuing my open letter to you titled "Please Protect Our U.S. Constitution From A Marxist (And Communist) 'Silent Insurrection," I am pleading with you once again as our *de facto* feminist leaders.

On 11/2/2023, I respectfully requested your help with my second petition to the U.S. Supreme Court. I now ask your <u>Amicus Curiae</u> to help with my third *pro se/forma pauperis* petition for a writ of certiorari.

While my second petition referred to a fundamental but still esoteric "Russell's Paradox" logical flaw in the 2/16/2023 Presidential Executive Order, I have been able to crystallize the matters even more since my previous letter. Satisfying the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court's demands, I can now prove to the SJC that "the petitioner has no other adequate remedy and that he has furnished the court with a record that substantiates his claims" (see my attached upcoming submission to the state's highest court).

My third petition to the U.S. Supreme Court can now properly frame a vastly more concrete and tangible question: "The 'Sec. 8. Affirmatively Advancing Civil Rights ... to prevent and address discrimination and advance equity for all' clause of the 2/16/2023 Presidential Executive Order results in the ever predictable 'equity for the rich or equity for the poor mother' dilemma as 'equity for all' is impossible by Marxist design. Is the mandate to selectively 'advance equity' (for only a select few) Constitutional?"

In my "The White House capitulates on its promise to the American People with the deceitful 'equity for all' Marxist agenda - a brazenly drastic scaling back of our 'justice for all'" letter to the White House, I outlined the "equity for the rich or equity for the poor" dilemma on 11/19/2023. However, I still could not complete my proof. My referenced parallel Complaints For Protection From Abuse (G.L.c. 209A) against the two mothers of my four children were repeatedly returned by the Family Court.

On 12/11/2023, I walked over to the local Newton District Court and respectfully refiled the exact same complaints. The Newton District Court accepted them. After an instant hearing of the matters, the Court declined to issue restraining orders against the mothers. My fears were relieved by the careful orders.

The Family Court unexpectedly allowed me to remotely attend my younger children's "name change" hearing on the same day. I already walked the grueling ~26 miles in March. I am also grateful to the Judge for allowing me to express my ambivalent feelings about "name changes." On the one hand, I am not guided by an activist-feminist "patriarchy" concept about forcing women to "give up their identity." I have been married twice, and I never even thought about requiring my wives to "share my identity."

As an "ethnically cleansed" hated minority from a Marxist-Communist tyranny, I am painfully aware of the emotional torture that forcing someone to change their names (their most fundamental and also psychologically ever-present identity) means, especially to children. I was one of those "brainwashed" children whose name "just wasn't good enough." The crucial distinction, once again, is **being forced**.

During the 12/12/2023 "name change" hearings, the Family Court understood my argument. It allowed my request to conduct "a severely limited" (a mere "the Probation Officer narrowly asks the children") investigation into the matters. The Judge allowed nothing more than verifying the "poor" mother's court testimony that the children feel that 1) they don't have a father, 2) they don't have a father's family, and 3) their "father" disseminates information about them. Referring to the "predatory feminist" trap, i.e., a stereotypically "toxic masculine" father would want to use that as "proof" of a mother's wrongdoing, the Judge expressed that "you will not conclude that the mother abused the children in any way from that."

I happily accepted the 12/12/2023 ruling: I already had my proof, and the "LGBTQ" Massachusetts voluntarily taking the burden off of my "poor" children's mother's weary back would be an extra gift.

I have now compiled extensive records of the **deliberately falsified** Family Court docket entries and the proofs of **committed mail fraud**. These were deliberate acts to conceal the transfer of "feminist equity" from the "rich" mother to the "poor" mother. Using the fraudulent (but astronomically lucrative for the "LGBTQ" State and the million-dollar lawyers) "feminist equity," the Family Court issued strict and comprehensive orders to **forcefully separate** the "poor" mother's children from their father as well.

The "feminist equity" transferring Family Court orders were so *ad hoc* and careless that they ensured that I could never have any **unsupervised contact** whatsoever with my younger children. Since my younger daughter was not even born when the activist Family Court implemented the predatory feminist agenda, the only reason my younger children **feel the way they do** is the State's "LGBTQ" agenda.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor¹, Pro Se

Cc: Katherine B. Dirks, Esq. (Assistant Attorney General), via katherine.dirks@mass.gov
Michael G. Xavier, Esq. (for Ms.), via mxxvier@princelobel.com
Ms. , Pro Se, via

¹ Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as an affidavit in support of my **third** *pro se* and *forma pauperis* petition for a writ of certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court.

Imre Kifor

Newton, MA 02464
ikifor@gmail.com
I have no phone
I have no valid driver's license
I have to move to a homeless shelter
https://femfas.net

November 19, 2023

President Joseph Biden The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20500

The White House capitulates on its promise to the American People with the deceitful "equity for all" Marxist agenda - a brazenly drastic scaling back of our "justice for all."

Dear President Biden,

I wrote to The White House about the 2/16/2023 Executive Order over a month ago. I claimed that "as the consequences of the [order] (effectively equivalent to mandating 'Jim Crow'-like segregation of Americans into 'double protected with equity' and 'unprotected with no equity at all' disjoint camps), the directly implied 'American Gulag of leftovers' can be categorized only as a hateful <u>Clintonian-base</u> for 'forced deprogramming' of masses of Americans [, similar to the Chinese Xinjiang internment camps]."

Since then, through desperate *pro se* and *forma pauperis* efforts (meaning absolutely no assistance from professionals or the government), I was able to docket my simple citizen petition for a writ of certiorari with the U.S. Supreme Court (No. 23-5932) and my new Civil RICO class action complaint against the Commonwealth of Massachusetts et al. with the U.S. District Court in Boston (No. 1:23-cv-12692-PBS).

In my now second SCOTUS petition, I raised the plain question: "The 'Sec. 8. Affirmatively Advancing Civil Rights ... to prevent and address discrimination and advance equity for all' clause of the 2/16/2023 Presidential Executive Order results in <u>Russell's Paradox</u>, and it must be corrected as a logically unacceptable conclusion to a less deceitful 'equity for some.' Is the mandate to selectively 'advance equity' (for only some) Constitutional?" I have no doubts that the Court will deny my layperson petition.

Regardless, to still shore up some <u>Amicus Curiae</u> support for my petition (pointedly concerning the everloud "feminist equity" overriding everything in Massachusetts), I begged our *de facto* feminist leaders:

"My petition explicitly contrasts the fabricated feminist 'women never lie' equity with one's 'ultimate' equity, i.e., one's true 'identity,' that is, one's unique constitutionally protected personal experiences. In the context of our Constitution and our antidiscrimination statutes, it points to the need to prioritize all possible equities, even all arbitrarily made-up ones.

But I am alone, i.e., infinitely weak, silenced, and enslaved, especially by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Being our de facto feminist leader, who solemnly swore to protect our Constitution, if you believe that the feminist 'women never lie' equity should not subvert our Constitution, please consider helping my petition with an Amicus Curiae filed with the Supreme Court."

The White House could also assist in clarifying to the Supreme Court that the Executive Order does not apply when the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court deliberately conceals a **never-contested federal mail fraud** (i.e., the Family Court, while obligated, never communicated crucial decisions and orders).

Without being privy to any decisions of the Massachusetts courts, I suddenly noticed that the previously secret docket entries of my three cases in the Family Court became public on 11/14/2023. I analyzed the revealed data and filed the attached status affidavit. As per the direction of the District Attorney's office, I contacted our Police Chief regarding a finally substantiated application for a RICO criminal complaint.

Why would a Family Court suddenly release all that verifiably false and deliberately fabricated content? I immediately emailed the parties with my first (emotional) reaction: "It is blatantly shocking that our innocent children's lives are determined based on such utter incompetence and incoherence." Marxist agendas, including the simplistic "equity-based" justice, are about limiting capacity. That is, restricting a state's expended resources to pretend to accomplish the promises. This is a uniquely unAmerican trait.

I can only speculate about the intentions of the Family Court (or the Commonwealth of Massachusetts). With my in-arrears child support obligations now at the impossible \$360,000+ level and my desperate job applications soon reaching 1,800+ compliant submissions, these matters have transcended from a "feminist" problem (i.e., between the two sexes) to a deeply seated **genuine social injustice** problem.

I filed parallel Complaints For Protection From Abuse (G.L.c. 209A), or requests for restraining orders, with the Family Court on 11/9/2023. In them, I concluded that the millionaire "Whole Foods cashier" mother of my now 19-year-old twins does not need (nor want) me around. I also witnessed her having a Massachusetts gun license and gun. However, the mother of my siblings (my youngest one is still only 12 years old) has relied on my significant financial support since the 2/13/2014 Family Court judgment.

Both mothers have been dishonest with the police, courts, etc. A leading female psychiatrist professor at Harvard Medical School characterized them as "very dangerous borderlines" and "you have no idea what they are capable of." From a decidedly "male" (e.g., simplistic, naive, and a mere layman father) perspective, I translate this to "quite intelligent women wasting tremendous potential by being able to think through problems but not capable of implementing any solutions" (see the attached link below).

In my third SCOTUS petition, and the context of the falsified docket entries, my inquiry boils down to a binary decision between "equity for rich" v. "equity for poor" mother as "equity for all" is impossible by Marxist design. Appealing from a federal adjudicatory body, the Presidential Executive Order is binding.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor¹, Pro Se

¹ Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as an affidavit in support of my **third** *pro se* and *forma pauperis* petition for a writ of certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court.

Cc: Katherine B. Dirks, Esq., Assistant Attorney General (via katherine.dirks@mass.gov)
Sen. Elizabeth Warren (via Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov)
Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez (via AOC.press@mail.house.gov)
American Civil Liberties Union (via legalresources@aclum.org)
Judiciary_Whistleblower@mail.house.gov
USAMA.CivilRights@usdoj.gov

List of referenced links:

- 1. Hillary Clinton's "formal deprogramming": https://www.cnn.com/videos/politics/2023/10/06/hillary-clinton-maga-cult-extremists-donald-trump-house-republicans-amanpour-cnntm-vpx.cnn
- 2. Jordan Peterson Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD): https://www.youtube.com/watch?
 v=TNQQIRKLPHs