Imre Kifor

Newton, MA 02464 <u>ikifor@gmail.com</u> I have no phone I have no valid driver's license I have to move to a homeless shelter <u>https://femfas.net</u>

January 4, 2023

Civil Clerk's Office John Joseph Moakley U.S. Federal Courthouse 1 Courthouse Way, Suite 2300 Boston, MA 02210 clarilde_karasek@mad.uscourts.gov Joshua S. Levy Acting U.S. Attorney U.S. Federal Courthouse 1 Courthouse Way, Suite 9200 Boston, MA 02210 <u>USAMA.CivilRights@usdoj.gov</u>

SUBMITTED FOR: Re: Kifor v. The Commonwealth of Massachusetts, et al. - 1:23-cv-12692-PBS

Dear Madam/Sir,

Enclosed for filing and docketing on my behalf, please find the following REFILED motion, status affidavit, and exhibits:

- 1. Imre Kifor's **REFILED** Motion To Alter Or Amend Judgment (pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. § 59 (e)) and exhibits,
- 2. Imre Kifor's Fourth Status Affidavit On Repeated Discriminatory And Retaliatory *Sua Sponte* Dismissals Of His Civil Rico Class Action Complaint And Notifying The White House Of Federal Questions Filed With The U.S. Supreme Court and exhibits.

Thank you.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor, Pro Se

Enclosure

Cc: Katherine B. Dirks, Esq. (Assistant Attorney General), via <u>katherine.dirks@mass.gov</u> Allyson R. Cady, Esq. (for LifeStance Health, Inc.), via <u>ACady@beneschlaw.com</u> Wesley S. Chused, Esq. (for The Counseling Center of New England), via <u>wchused@preti.com</u> John Puleo, Esq. (for Atrius Health), via <u>jpuleo@hmdrslaw.com</u> Michael G. Xavier, Esq. (for <u>set and set and set</u> also: Sen. Elizabeth Warren (via <u>Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov</u>) Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez (via <u>AOC.press@mail.house.gov</u>) American Civil Liberties Union (via <u>legalresources@aclum.org</u>) Judiciary_Whistleblower@mail.house.gov

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS BOSTON DIVISION

IMRE KIFOR, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, GOVERNOR MAURA HEALY (official capacity), ATTORNEY GENERAL ANDREA CAMPBELL (official capacity), COMMISSIONER GEOFFREY SNYDER (official capacity, Department of Revenue, Child Support Enforcement Division), MIDDLESEX PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT, THE COUNSELING CENTER OF NEW ENGLAND (now LIFESTANCE HEALTH, INC.), ATRIUS HEALTH, , and

Defendants.

Case No: 1:23-cv-12692-PBS

IMRE KIFOR'S FOURTH STATUS AFFIDAVIT ON REPEATED DISCRIMINATORY AND RETALIATORY *SUA SPONTE* DISMISSALS OF HIS CIVIL RICO CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND NOTIFYING THE WHITE HOUSE OF FEDERAL QUESTIONS FILED WITH THE U.S. SUPREME COURT

The Plaintiff, Imre Kifor ("Father"), respectfully states as follows:

- Father mailed his Third Status Affidavit On An "LGBTQ+" State Using Federal Funds To Subsidize The Forceful Separation And Agenda-Driven Alienation Of American Children From Their Parents (and its 148 pages of substantiating exhibits) to this Court on 12/28/2023 just before receiving the dismissal dated 12/21/2023 and mailed by this Court on 12/26/2023.
- Father immediately questioned this Court's repeated *sua sponte* dismissal of Father's *pro se* complaint and mailed his Motion To Alter Or Amend Judgment (pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. § 59 (e)) to this Court on 12/30/2023 with the USPS receipt promising delivery on 1/2/2024.

- 3) In his motion, Father reiterated that he had mailed his third *pro se* and *forma pauperis* petition for a writ of certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court on 12/26/2023. Following the proper appeal of Father's first "prisoner-like" *sua sponte* dismissal of his prior *pro se* Civil RICO complaint by this Court, the petition was docketed on 12/29/2023 as No. 23-6398.
- Father followed up the docketing of his SCOTUS petition for a writ of certiorari with his Status Affidavit On Notifying The White House mailed to the Supreme Court on 1/2/2024.
- 5) The affidavit included Father's open letter also mailed to President Biden on 1/2/2024. In the letter, Father reported to The White House that "In my previous open letter, I mentioned my *pro se* Civil RICO Class Action Complaint, 1:23-cv-12692-PBS. Seemingly as retaliation, the substantiated complaint was again summarily dismissed with 16 direct misrepresentations of my specific facts. I took my second chance to formally (see attached) ask:

Does sovereign immunity apply to an "LGBTQ+" Massachusetts when using federal funds to subsidize the forceful separation and activist-agenda-driven alienation of innocent American children from their loving American parents?"

6) Father substantiated his report by attaching his above-mentioned Motion To Alter Or Amend Judgment mailed to this Court. As time is of the essence and this Court's docket entries (see attached) still do not list Father's crucial Motion To Alter Or Amend Judgment (and exhibits), Father is refiling the motion by mailing it to the Court again with USPS tracking requested.

Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury.

January 4, 2024,

Respectfully submitted, /s/ Imre Kifor Imre Kifor, Pro Se

Newton, MA 02464 <u>ikifor@gmail.com</u> I have no phone I have no valid driver's license I have to move to a homeless shelter <u>https://femfas.net</u>

Imre Kifor

Newton, MA 02464 <u>ikifor@gmail.com</u> I have no phone I have no valid driver's license I have to move to a homeless shelter <u>https://femfas.net</u>

January 1, 2024

Scott S. Harris, Clerk Supreme Court of the United States Washington, DC 20543

SUBMITTED FOR: Imre Kifor v. Massachusetts. et al., No. 23-5932 Imre Kifor v. Massachusetts. et al., No. 23-6398

Dear Clerk Harris,

Enclosed for filing and docketing on my behalf, please find the following documents:

- 1. Imre Kifor's Status Affidavits On Notifying The White House,
- 2. Imre Kifor's open letters/affidavits addressed to President Joseph Biden, dated 11/19/2023 and 1/1/2024,
- 3. Proofs of Service.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor, *Pro Se*

Enclosure

Cc: Katherine B. Dirks, Esq. (Assistant Attorney General), via <u>katherine.dirks@mass.gov</u> Michael Xavier, Esq. (for Ms. 1997), via <u>mxavier@princelobel.com</u> Ms. 1997
Caroline Hendel, Esq. (for Yale School Of Medicine), via <u>caroline.hendel@yale.edu</u> Allyson R. Cady, Esq. (for LifeStance Health, Inc.), via <u>ACady@beneschlaw.com</u> Wesley S. Chused, Esq. (for The Counseling Center of New England), via <u>wchused@preti.com</u> John Puleo, Esq. (for Atrius Health), via jpuleo@hmdrslaw.com No. 23-6398

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

IMRE KIFOR,

Petitioner,

V.

THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS et al., *Respondents*.

IMRE KIFOR'S STATUS AFFIDAVIT ON NOTIFYING THE WHITE HOUSE

The Petitioner, Imre Kifor ("Father"), respectfully states:

- Father's above-captioned petition directly referred to the 2/16/2023 Presidential Executive Order. Father also requested a joint review of his specific decision from the lower court and the binding Executive Order under Rule 12.4.
- As required by this Court, Father notified The White House on 11/19/2023 and 1/1/2024 of his related petitions Nos. 23-5932 and 23-6398. Father is attaching his open letters/affidavits respectfully mailed to President Joseph Biden.
- Father notes that he has been indigent for years due to the underlying matters and has no financial means to physically mail his petitions to The White House.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

January 1, 2024

/s/ Imre Kifor Imre Kifor, Pro Se

Newton, MA 02464 <u>ikifor@gmail.com</u> I have no phone I have no valid driver's license I have to move to a homeless shelter <u>https://femfas.net</u>

Imre Kifor

Newton, MA 02464 <u>ikifor@gmail.com</u> I have no phone I have no valid driver's license I have to move to a homeless shelter <u>https://femfas.net</u>

January 1, 2024

President Joseph Biden The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20500

Dear President Biden,

In my previous open letter to the White House, dated November 19, 2023, I indicated my intentions to submit my third *pro se* and *forma pauperis* petition for a writ of certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court.

My petition was duly docketed on December 26, 2023, as No. 23-6398 with the following question:

1. The "Sec. 8. Affirmatively Advancing Civil Rights ... to prevent and address discrimination and advance equity for all" clause of the 2/16/2023 Presidential Executive Order results in the predictable "equity for the rich or equity for the poor mother?" dilemma as "equity for all" is impossible by Marxist design. Is the mandate to selectively "advance equity" (for a select few) Constitutional?

As a brainwashed "communist child," I was forced to study and give consideration to Marxism. Living with the "social construct," I also concluded that the defining feature of Marxism is that the State is tasked to "specially protect from others" selectively instead of "equally protect rights," but universally.

Sharpening the "equity for the rich or equity for the poor mother?" dilemma posed to the U.S. Supreme Court, the Family Court hearing in my matters on December 12, 2023, provided the sad testimony that my younger 14 and 12-year-old children **feel utterly "fatherless."** I have no reason to doubt my dear children's feelings. Moreover, any regular American, i.e., without any "Marxist experiences," would immediately fault the "deadbeat" father, exactly as the U.S. District Court did in response to my filings.

Just as the tens of millions of non-Americans (who cruelly suffered and outright perished through the "weaponized psychology" of Marxism and Communism) can readily confirm that Marxism is not what politicians are so intent on portraying to be, my younger children's indirect testimony proves my claims.

I immediately followed up the Family Court hearing with my attached Emergency Petition To Correct And Prevent Ongoing Errors to the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court ("SJC"). Marxism is driven exclusively by money. I asserted to our SJC that "equity for all" is impossible by Marxist design as the crudely destructive redistribution of already existing wealth is the fundamental objective of the social construct and not the construction of (any) new wealth. To summarize my logic, transcending from mere "dollar wealth" to my dear children's "equity" (now ruthlessly stolen by the State), here are the facts:

- 1. I have never communicated with my younger children without the State's supervision,
- 2. The State has never raised a complaint against me during my **500**+ supervised visits with them,
- 3. I have repeatedly complained to the State about the forceful supervision's activist political agenda,
- 4. I have never abandoned my children. Despite my **1,360**+ calls to them, they could never respond.

Simplifying the matters to their very core, I can now unequivocally conclude that the abusive and childpredatory controversy has nothing to do with any of my specific personal facts. Initially, I was identified as an "ignorant immigrant" who happened to be lucky enough to sell his software. The State fabricated a "high conflict" divorce for me without considering my specific facts. The "feminist equity" (of shielding the millionaire mother for later endless extortion) had to be protected, and the State relied extensively on **prohibited RICO activities**, i.e., obstruction, retaliation & mail/wire fraud, to conceal my specific facts.

The only purpose of any Marxist "equity" is to conveniently (and without any obstacles, like legal restraints) transfer already established facts of any individual to all other members of that same group.

As per feminist equity, the custom-fabricated high-conflict "facts" in the millionaire mother's case were fraudulently transferred by the State to the "poor" mother. Supervision of all of my visits, with even my newborn daughter, was ordered with purely abusive and **deeply child-predatory motives** (the countless cruel lawyers were set to collect millions of dollars in "legal fees" in the also profiteering Family Court).

Predictably, as Marxist ideas are grossly inadequate for any honest "rule of law"-based justice, not even the activist "feminist equity" can morph a millionaire's mother's equity into any poor mother's. And especially not into the poor mother's poor children's equity. While "fatherlessness" is meaningless for the now 65-year-old millionaire mother, it is crucially meaningful for my minor children as **"extreme parental alienation should be considered emotional child abuse and referred criminally."**¹

In my previous open letter, I mentioned my *pro se* Civil RICO Class Action Complaint, 1:23-cv-12692-PBS. Seemingly as retaliation, the substantiated complaint was again summarily dismissed with 16 direct misrepresentations of my specific facts. I took my second chance to formally (see attached) ask:

2. Does sovereign immunity apply to an "LGBTQ+" Massachusetts when using federal funds to subsidize the forceful separation and activist-agenda-driven alienation of innocent American children from their loving American parents?

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor², Pro Se

¹ See at <u>https://www.ncsc.org/ data/assets/pdf file/0014/42152/parental alienation Lewis.pdf</u>

² Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as a status affidavit in support of my *pro se* and *forma pauperis* petitions for a writ of certiorari, Nos. 23-5932 and 23-6398, to the U.S. Supreme Court.