

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIRST CIRCUIT

IMRE KIFOR,
Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

THE COMMONWEALTH OF
MASSACHUSETTS, MIDDLESEX
PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT,
MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT
OF REVENUE CHILD SUPPORT
ENFORCEMENT DIVISION, YALE
SCHOOL OF MEDICINE, THE
COUNSELING CENTER OF NEW
ENGLAND, and ATRIUS HEALTH,
INC.,
Defendants-Appellees.

CIVIL ACTION
No. 23-1008

**PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT’S STATUS AFFIDAVIT ON IMMEDIATELY
REFILED TWICE RENEWED RULE 60 MOTION FOR
RELIEF FROM FRAUD ON THE COURT**

The Plaintiff-Appellant, Imre Kifor (“Father”), respectfully states as follows:

- 1) Citing the state’s sovereign immunity, the Commonwealth Defendant-Appellees filed a Motion for Summary Disposition in this Court on 2/10/2023.
- 2) Following the parallel hearings held in the underlying matters in the Middlesex Probate And Family Court on 3/23/2023, Father respectfully moved this Court

to grant him Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d *et seq.*, and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 injunctions against the Commonwealth on 4/9/2023.

- 3) Subsequently, Father updated this Court in his Status Affidavit On Continued Systemic Judicial Neglect By The State on 5/2/2023 prior to filing his SJC-13427 appeal with the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court (“SJC”).
- 4) On 5/16/2023 the SJC issued a judgment in Father’s prior SJC-13392 appeal.
- 5) The hereby attached SJC judgment notes that “the single justice is not required to become involved if the petitioner has an adequate alternative remedy.”
- 6) Father has already substantiated all his claims of fraud, defamation, and discrimination in his filings in the Family Court. However, none of his complaints or pleadings have been considered or perhaps accepted. Even the apparent denials of his affidavits of indigences have been withheld from Father.
- 7) Being able to properly prosecute his cases, or to defend himself from the endlessly filed complaints for contempt against him is existentially important to Father, even if it “is not sufficiently important and extraordinary” to the SJC.
- 8) Therefore, Father refiled his now twice renewed Motions For Relief Pursuant To Rule 60 Fraud On The Court with the Family Court on 5/16/2023.
- 9) The SJC also noted that Father “did not, however, demonstrate that he had taken all available steps to obtain rulings on his motions. See, e.g., Matthews v. D’Arcy, 425 Mass. 1021, 1022 (1997).” Nevertheless, Father has meticulously

reviewed all his submissions and cannot find nor guess the hidden “missing steps” the judgment refers to. Specifically, 1) Father filed his affidavit of indigency with the Family Court, 2) the registry emailed that they had to send it to the judge, and 3) the judge’s clerk then emailed that it was not in the file.

- 10) Given the clear statutes and the submitted short and concise email messages and confirmations, along with Father’s “Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury” signature with every filing, it is unclear what steps could have been missing from “the Family Court ignored following the statute” claims.
- 11) In order to avoid missing any available steps in Father’s future filings, Father is hereby attaching his entire 5/16/2023 submission to the Family Court.

Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury.

May 16, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Imre Kifor

Imre Kifor, Pro Se



Newton, MA 02464

ikifor@gmail.com

I have no phone

I have no valid driver’s license

I have to move to a homeless shelter

<https://femfas.net>

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
THE TRIAL COURT

MIDDLESEX, ss.

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT

IMRE KIFOR,

Plaintiff,

v.

[REDACTED],

Defendant.

DOCKET: 07D-3172-DV1

**PLAINTIFF’S RENEWED MOTION FOR RELIEF PURSUANT TO RULE 60 FRAUD
ON THE COURT**

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, Imre Kifor, (“Father”), and respectfully states:

1. Pursuant to Probate and Family Court Standing Order 2-99, Father is requesting Mass. R.Dom.Rel.P. Rule 60 (b)(3 & 6) relief from all current judgments and orders due to “fraud, misrepresentation, or misconduct of an adverse party” and **sustained fraud on the court**.
2. This motion is simultaneously and identically filed in all currently active actions of the parties’ above captioned docket in this Court and it renews and amends Father’s Motion For Relief From Orders (Pursuant To Rule 60 Fraud And Specifically Fraud On The Court) originally filed on 12/27/2022 and refiled on 3/13/2023 (including its 116 pages of exhibits).
3. Therefore, Father hereby incorporates by reference the text of his prior motion also attached herein, and explicitly implies all of its already duly filed and served substantiating exhibits.
4. Father reiterates that he has continued to fully comply with this Court’s “seek work” orders and he has submitted **710+** job applications as of today (in addition to the **800+** in 2019).

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
THE TRIAL COURT

MIDDLESEX, ss.

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT

_____,
Plaintiff,
v.
IMRE KIFOR,
Defendant.

DOCKET: 07D-3172-DV1

**DEFENDANT’S RENEWED MOTION FOR RELIEF PURSUANT TO RULE 60 FRAUD
ON THE COURT**

NOW COMES the Defendant, Imre Kifor, (“Father”), and respectfully states:

5. Pursuant to Probate and Family Court Standing Order 2-99, Father is requesting Mass. R.Dom.Rel.P. Rule 60 (b)(3 & 6) relief from all current judgments and orders due to “fraud, misrepresentation, or misconduct of an adverse party” and **sustained fraud on the court**.
6. This motion is simultaneously and identically filed in all currently active actions of the parties’ above captioned docket in this Court and it renews and amends Father’s Motion For Relief From Orders (Pursuant To Rule 60 Fraud And Specifically Fraud On The Court) originally filed on 12/27/2022 and refiled on 3/13/2023 (including its 116 pages of exhibits).
7. Therefore, Father hereby incorporates by reference the text of his prior motion also attached herein, and explicitly implies all of its already duly filed and served substantiating exhibits.
8. Father reiterates that he has continued to fully comply with this Court’s “seek work” orders and he has submitted **710+** job applications as of today (in addition to the **800+** in 2019).

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
THE TRIAL COURT

MIDDLESEX, ss.

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT

IMRE KIFOR,

Plaintiff,

v.

[REDACTED],

Defendant.

DOCKET: 11W-0787-WD/11W-1147-WD

**PLAINTIFF’S RENEWED MOTION FOR RELIEF PURSUANT TO RULE 60 FRAUD
ON THE COURT**

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, Imre Kifor, (“Father”), and respectfully states:

1. Pursuant to Probate and Family Court Standing Order 2-99, Father is requesting Mass. R.Dom.Rel.P. Rule 60 (b)(3 & 6) relief from all current judgments and orders due to “fraud, misrepresentation, or misconduct of an adverse party” and **sustained fraud on the court**.
2. This motion is simultaneously and identically filed in all currently active actions of the parties’ above captioned docket in this Court and it renews and amends Father’s Motion For Relief From Orders (Pursuant To Rule 60 Fraud And Specifically Fraud On The Court) originally filed on 12/27/2022 and refiled on 3/13/2023 (including its 116 pages of exhibits).
3. Therefore, Father hereby incorporates by reference the text of his prior motion also attached herein, and explicitly implies all of its already duly filed and served substantiating exhibits.
4. Father reiterates that he has continued to fully comply with this Court’s “seek work” orders and he has submitted **710+** job applications as of today (in addition to the **800+** in 2019).

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
THE TRIAL COURT

MIDDLESEX, ss.

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT

██████████,
Plaintiff,
v.
IMRE KIFOR,
Defendant.

DOCKET: 11W-0787-WD/11W-1147-WD

**DEFENDANT’S RENEWED MOTION FOR RELIEF PURSUANT TO RULE 60 FRAUD
ON THE COURT**

NOW COMES the Defendant, Imre Kifor, (“Father”), and respectfully states:

5. Pursuant to Probate and Family Court Standing Order 2-99, Father is requesting Mass. R.Dom.Rel.P. Rule 60 (b)(3 & 6) relief from all current judgments and orders due to “fraud, misrepresentation, or misconduct of an adverse party” and **sustained fraud on the court**.
6. This motion is simultaneously and identically filed in all currently active actions of the parties’ above captioned docket in this Court and it renews and amends Father’s Motion For Relief From Orders (Pursuant To Rule 60 Fraud And Specifically Fraud On The Court) originally filed on 12/27/2022 and refiled on 3/13/2023 (including its 116 pages of exhibits).
7. Therefore, Father hereby incorporates by reference the text of his prior motion also attached herein, and explicitly implies all of its already duly filed and served substantiating exhibits.
8. Father reiterates that he has continued to fully comply with this Court’s “seek work” orders and he has submitted **710+** job applications as of today (in addition to the **800+** in 2019).

9. Father's combined in-arrears obligations for his children have now reached **\$325,000+**.
10. While the MA DOR CSE continues to minimize that amount by ignoring the majority of the complaints for contempt frivolously filed against Father, the fact remains that no prospective employer can look past that staggering amount and the subsequently ordered jail sentence.
11. This Court's activist and deliberately child-predatory "suppressing of evidence" routine first manifested itself on 12/5/2013 as substantiated by a) this Court's falsified official "docket entries" served on Father by the AGO's office on 8/9/2021, and b) Father's 545 pages long submissions documenting the circumstances of the prior actions to SJC-13263 on 4/21/2022.
12. The objective of the now systemically applied *ad hoc* "**gatekeeper orders**" against Father is to deliberately conceal the allowed fraudulent GAL investigations and subsequent specific and systemic "disparate treatments" against Father by this Court and the colluding parties.
13. Despite the substantiated claims that "the State continues to openly divert significant federal assistance to finance this activist 'experiment,' a targeted discrimination based on national origin in Father's specific case, armed with purpose-fabricated 'mental health' fraud," see Father's attached Imre Kifor's Affidavit On Continued Systemic Judicial Neglect and its incorporated exhibits, the Supreme Judicial Court ruled on 5/16/2023 that "the single justice is not required to become involved if the petitioner has an adequate alternative remedy."
14. To remedy that stated deficiency listed in the SJC-13392 judgment in Father's prosecution of his cases in this Court, Father is hereby renewing his parallel pleadings for relief from fraud.

WHEREFORE, pursuant to R.Dom.Rel.P. Rule 60(b)(3 & 6), namely "fraud, misrepresentation, or other misconduct of an adverse party" and specifically **sustained fraud on the court**, Father

respectfully requests this Court to grant him relief from all current rulings as they specifically continue to violate Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d *et seq.*, with intentional discrimination (targeted “adverse treatment”) based on Father's national origin, 42 U.S.C. § 1983 with infringements of his Fourteenth Amendment rights to due process of law and equal protection of the laws, and Civil RICO 18 U.S.C. § 1962 with its prohibited activities.

Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury.

May 16, 2023,

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Imre Kifor

Imre Kifor, Pro Se



Newton, MA 02464

ikifor@gmail.com

I have no phone

I have no valid driver's license

I have to move to a homeless shelter

<https://femfas.net>

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
THE TRIAL COURT

MIDDLESEX, ss.

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT

IMRE KIFOR'S AFFIDAVIT ON CONTINUED SYSTEMIC JUDICIAL NEGLECT

NOW COMES Imre Kifor, ("Father"), and respectfully states:

1. Father has been and continues to be the subject of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d *et seq.*, discrimination based on the immigrant Father's national origin as part of a now substantiated activist, and thus politically-driven conspiracy to silence and enslave.
2. The "silencing" aspect of the conspiracy is sustained by violations of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 with deliberate infringements of Father's Fourteenth Amendment rights to due process of law and equal protection of the laws. Specifically, secretive and *ad hoc* "gatekeeper orders" are used.
3. The "enslaving" aspect of the conspiracy is enforced by induced indigency, endlessly filed frivolous and fraud-based complaints for contempt, and subsequent obsessive "seek work" orders while also rendering any financially sustainable employment purposely impossible.
4. To retaliate against a now whistleblower Father and his meticulously collected evidence, an invalidating "war of attrition" has been waged against Father by specifically and deliberately engaging in systemic judicial neglect of the facts, Father's pieces of evidence, and his rights.
5. The attached "**Sen. Warren: Stealing Children Is A War Crime, Yet Massachusetts Steals Them And Brainwashes Them Only To Then Abandon Them**" open letter and complaint to the Congressional Judiciary Committees with all its *pro se* exhibits is hereby incorporated by reference as Father's further efforts to rectify his massively invalidated and stolen reality.

Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury.

May 16, 2023,

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Imre Kifor

Imre Kifor, Pro Se



Newton, MA 02464

ikifor@gmail.com

I have no phone

I have no valid driver's license

I have to move to a homeless shelter

<https://femfas.net>

Imre Kifor

██████████
Newton, MA 02464

ikifor@gmail.com

I have no phone

I have no valid driver's license

I have to move to a homeless shelter

<https://femfas.net>

May 13, 2023

Senator Elizabeth Warren
"Fight for Middle-Class Families"
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov

Senator Dick Durbin
Chairman
Senate Judiciary Committee
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Congressman Jim Jordan, Chairman
House Judiciary Committee
2138 Rayburn House Building
Washington, DC 20515
Judiciary_Whistleblower@mail.house.gov

Sen. Warren: Stealing Children Is A War Crime, Yet Massachusetts Steals Them And Brainwashes Them Only To Then Abandon Them

Dear Senators Warren and Durbin,
Dear Congressman Jordan,

I am a loving and dedicated father of four dear children. I recently petitioned the U.S. Supreme Court alleging that *"by substantiating these child-predatory 'activist' schemes, Father alleges that the many federal taxpayers are being used to benefit the few state taxpayers. Father's allegations have focused on only those judicial acts where the Family Court assumed appellate roles. Father claims with specificity that 'in the nature of certiorari' acts were performed in the 'complete absence of jurisdiction.'"*

Being an "ignorant immigrant" and now a **forcedly indigent** layman with **\$325,000+** of in-arrears child supports, I could only file a *pro se* and *forma pauperis* petition. Without going into the fabricated "toxic masculinity" details of the sad cases, it suffices to say that I owed \$0 when I first approached the courts.

On 8/5/2018, I wrote to Sen. Warren in one of my first, but now 500+ sent open letters to top officials, *"I also would like to cautiously propose legislating 'toxic masculinity,' the label or accusation, as a hate crime. While using it may make some feel better, it doesn't help our children, especially boys, and makes defense in Court impossible. One cannot prove the negative, and having to prove innocence is fascism."*

As expected from the *de facto* “activist feminist” czar of our nation, the **radical extremist** Sen. Warren has ignored all my desperate pleas. As a former Harvard Law professor, she also knows that the courts will continue to flatly ignore all the meticulously collected but ultimately deeply “uncomfortable” truths.

After all, I have a much less than 0.01% chance that the U.S. Supreme Court would grant my poor man's petition during their scheduled conference on 5/18/2023. The purpose-induced “war of attrition” by the State against this “**dangerous**” **whistleblower** has predictably been very effective as the Mass. Supreme Judicial Court continues to routinely deny all my painstakingly substantiated (but poor man’s) petitions.

Even the deliberately falsified docket entries, served by the Mass. Attorney General’s Office in my prior civil rights violations matter, cannot attract the attention of our high court. I filed my Civil RICO class action claims against the State in the U.S. District Court almost a year ago. That court noted, “*Put more simply, Kifor maintains that the Family Court, on multiple crucial occasions, deliberately failed to notify Kifor of its rulings, which resulted in Kifor not being able to appeal the same.*” Predictably, due to its “sovereign immunity,” the State moved for prompt dismissal in the U.S. Court of Appeals, First Circuit.

When President Biden provocatively [claimed](#) that “our nation's children are all our children,” I quickly excused that ruthless rhetoric with a “but he is not the State.” As a political immigrant from Romania, I was mindful of the cruel communist ideology that the dictators Nicolae and Elena Ceausescu unleashed on that nation with their “[the State can take better care of your child than you can](#)” political agenda.

“Read Maria Lvova-Belova's social media, and one might think Russia is selflessly delivering Ukrainian children from evil into the care of Russian families desperate to share their love,” [writes](#) CNN. That “[nasty woman](#)” is now a declared war criminal. Yet, she had acted just like “**Dr. Deutsch, an American Dr. Mengele from Harvard**” and her “activist” cohorts. So, I reiterated to Sen. Warren on 12/26/2022:

The root controversy of this ever-escalating “conspiracy to silence and enslave” is the child-predatory GAL investigation conducted by sex-obsessed activist Harvard psychologists who purpose-fabricated infantile QAnon-style narratives and casually administered faulty “psychology tests” without licenses.

These “nasty” GALs went on to lead the American Psychological Association and the “Pediatric Gender Program” at Yale after repeatedly lying to and knowingly misleading our courts. The State’s retaliations and my forced indigency started with my email: “*Dr. Olezeski, Is your ‘Pediatric Gender Program’, in fact, in plain English, **castrating young American boys**? It is well known that the Nazis, as part of their ‘emerging eugenics movement,’ started with castrating the hated ‘inferior’ minorities (for clarity, I grew up as a deeply hated minority in a ruthless dictatorship). They moved onto gassing them in masses only after the population and ‘scientific community’ did not complain nor ‘resist’ them in any way.*”

I am a tolerant and also fiercely apolitical liberal professional. I have no inclinations to follow “activist movements” by our radical and polarizing politicians. Nevertheless, it seems clear that had the State, led by a “first lesbian” governor, truly cared about protecting any LGTBQIA2S+ children, they would have prioritized investigating these greedy “activist” child predators, who got rich while deceiving our courts.

My fully preserved legal controversy points to a more sinister “activist” subversion of our rule of law. I claimed on 3/13/2023 that “*the ‘nuclear threat’ to the U.S. Constitution comes from [the State, as it] is*

loudly 'double-protecting' a numerically negligible minority as a legal strategy in the lucrative context of legislated 'maximized federal reimbursements' from a silenced and also enslaved majority, through the deliberate violations of all federal protections." The Family Court has become the State's **political branch** to spearhead that, following the communist (and fascist) practices of cruelly targeting children.

Had the Family Court's priority been to protect "our nation's children [as] all our children," they would not have contemplated ejecting and abandoning my older twins (who had just turned 19 yo) during the 3/23/2023 hearing only to conceal the now institutionalized "feminist" child-abuse and endless torturing.

I have been arguing in court that "*the State continues to openly divert significant federal assistance to finance this activist 'experiment,' a targeted discrimination based on national origin in Father's specific case, armed with purpose-fabricated 'mental health' fraud.*" While I moved the courts to grant Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d *et seq.*, and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 injunctions against the State, the intractable controversy sadly persists: the State's "war of attrition" works, and the **emerging American Gulag** will silence and enslave "dangerous" whistleblowers just like the original Soviet did.

Respectfully,
/s/ Imre Kifor, Pro Se

Referenced links:

<https://www.foxnews.com/media/parents-agree-biden-alarms-assertion-no-thing-someone-elses-child>

<https://www.theguardian.com/news/2014/dec/10/-sp-ceausescus-children>

<https://www.cnn.com/2023/02/15/europe/russia-ukraine-children-maria-lvova-belova-intl/index.html>

<https://www.npr.org/2016/10/24/499224776/elizabeth-warren-rallies-nasty-women-to-vote-for-clinton>