



Imre Kifor <ikifor@gmail.com>

26-1346 Kifor v. Commonwealth of Massachusetts, et al "Status Report"

CA01NoticeDocketActivity@ca1.uscourts.gov <CA01NoticeDocketActivity@ca1.uscourts.gov>

Fri, Jun 12, 2026 at
1:53 PM

To: ikifor@gmail.com

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing.

United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit

Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was entered on 06/12/2026 at 1:53:05 PM Eastern Daylight Time and filed on 06/12/2026

Case Name: Kifor v. Commonwealth of Massachusetts, et al

Case Number: 26-1346

Document(s): <https://ecf.ca1.uscourts.gov/docs1/00108461230?uid=a1eeb53cc2b0225c>

Docket Text:

STATUS report filed by Appellant Imre Kifor. Served on 06/12/2026. [26-1346] (IK)

Notice will be electronically mailed to:

Imre Kifor

Notice will not be electronically mailed to:

Andrea J. Campbell
MA Attorney General's Office
1 Ashburton Pl
20th Fl
Boston, MA 02108-0000

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

666 Document Description: 01-Status-Affidavit

Original Filename: 01-Status-Affidavit.pdf

Electronic Document Stamp:

[STAMP acecfStamp_ID=1104935054 [Date=06/12/2026] [FileNumber=6817814-0] [165dcdbd963fd03b18139bef3cd6d8f935d1d726746162c484f7ed3ab35e2fcec5dbc5a3943b6eda8c37c4d21cf5927a79c8b3af0d08c92806a037583ec7c70a]]

666 Document Description: 02-Exhibit-1--Undeliverable-Address

Original Filename: 02-Exhibit-1--Undeliverable-Address.pdf

Electronic Document Stamp:

[STAMP acecfStamp_ID=1104935054 [Date=06/12/2026] [FileNumber=6817814-1] [96a7be847539d06445a53f0e945f7df19cb4a9835f9da95a63166a99dae07b1fb5db5e185a7b6778314b8c7d5a420523bf41feab847430b5078c3b6217e32203]]

666 Document Description: 02-Exhibit-2--Sabotaged-Appeals

Original Filename: 02-Exhibit-2--Sabotaged-Appeals.pdf

Electronic Document Stamp:

[STAMP acecfStamp_ID=1104935054 [Date=06/12/2026] [FileNumber=6817814-2] [8fd84f37dc96bf7c104ad75245e01c09aa43c820988ee0340d504480a1c4b2c86f0c9cc998b8e60cc47b090e6a3a5c2912fc68a693383148942c58710efc71d3]]

666 Document Description: 02-Exhibit-3--Falsified-Dockets

Original Filename: 02-Exhibit-3--Falsified-Dockets.pdf

Electronic Document Stamp:

[STAMP acecfStamp_ID=1104935054 [Date=06/12/2026] [FileNumber=6817814-3] [237ea02910dbc92cf75d587389a33f73e354d7cc3cf8ad2e1ef15dd6555765134072be1c9cf5f442099f15c7569815098dc91bc0c555f83a96350c68a7828be3]]

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIRST CIRCUIT

IMRE KIFOR,

Appellant,

v.

THE COMMONWEALTH OF
MASSACHUSETTS, et al.,

Appellees.

No. 26-1346

(On Appeal from the U.S. District
Court for the District of Mass., No.
1:25-cv-11831-AK, Kelley, J.)

**APPELLANT'S STATUS AFFIDAVIT REPORTING ON THE CONTINUED
ACTIVE CONCEALMENT OF THE FALSIFIED PARALLEL FAMILY
COURT DOCKETS — SUBSTANTIATED BY THE NEVER-IMPOUNDED
389-PAGE EVIDENCE COMPENDIUM, DRAWN FROM THE FAMILY
COURT'S OWN RECORDS AND STAMPED "RECEIVED MAY 21 2026"
BY THE MASSACHUSETTS APPEALS COURT — THROUGH (I) THE
5/21/2026 SAME-DAY REVERSALS OF THE FAMILY COURT'S E-FILING
RULES AND (II) THE 6/11/2026 RETURN TO SENDER OF YET
ANOTHER FAMILY COURT REGISTRY MAILING ADDRESSED TO
THE DEMOLISHED [demolished house mailbox] STRUCTURE**

I, Imre Kifor, the pro se Appellant in the above-captioned matter, declare and state on personal knowledge under 28 U.S.C. § 1746 as follows:

I. PURPOSE

1. This Status Affidavit reports two documentary developments material to this Court's record that post-date Appellant's 5/27/2026 Supplemental Affidavit (Doc 00118452547 / Entry ID 6812935) in their documentation, and that bear directly on Appellant's pending 5/12/2026 Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal (Doc 00118445745 / Entry ID 6809309). It is preservational. It does not seek substantive relief, does not move to expand the briefed record, and does not delay any briefing schedule.
2. The two developments are:
 - (a) On 5/21/2026 — the same day Appellant's hand-delivered evidence bundle was stamped "RECEIVED MAY 21 2026" by the Massachusetts Appeals Court — the Middlesex Probate and Family Court's e-filing channel applied, to the same parallel papers, three mutually inconsistent rejection rules in under twenty-four hours, before accepting and docket-stamping only the procedural halves of the bundle once the notices of appeal were stripped out (¶¶ 6–10).
 - (b) On 6/11/2026, Appellant learned that the Family Court Registry had mailed yet another envelope — metered 6/8/2026 — to the demolished/undeliverable [demolished house mailbox] structure rather than to Appellant's repeatedly-noticed address of record, and that the envelope had been

returned to sender, unopened, bearing the handwritten notation "Person doesn't live at this address." Appellant has never received it and does not know its contents (§§ 11–15).

II. THE NEVER-IMPOUNDED EVIDENTIARY BASELINE: THE 5/21/2026 HAND-DELIVERY

3. As disclosed at § VI ¶ 12 of Appellant's 5/27/2026 Supplemental Affidavit (Doc 00118452547), on 5/21/2026 Appellant hand-delivered to the Massachusetts Appeals Court a five-piece bundle — a cover letter to Chief Justice Blake under Mass. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(B), 9(a)(2), and 8(e)(1); the Second Amended Notices of Appeal; the parallel Rule 60(a) Motions for Permission and Motions to Correct the Record; and a 389-page exhibit compendium, assembled under a cover page created for the Appeals Court submission that binds four volumes of approximately one hundred pages each. The bundle was stamped "RECEIVED MAY 21 2026" by the Appeals Court. The stamped compendium components are reproduced within Exhibits 2 and 3 hereto ("Shared Exhibits to the Parallel Notices of Appeal," stamp at Exhibit 2, p. 31; "Shared Exhibits to the Parallel Motions to Correct the Record," stamp at Exhibit 3, pp. 47–48).
4. The compendium is drawn from the Family Court's own records, not from Appellant's characterizations: the Commonwealth's e-filing vendor's own "Filing Submitted" receipts for the eighteen parallel envelopes (three per filing

date, seventy-seven to eighty-one pages each) by which Appellant e-filed his 437-item Request for Admissions across six successive 2025 e-filing dates — each receipt carrying an Odyssey-generated "Download Document" link stated on its face to be "active for 548 days," each link still retrieving the complete envelope as transmitted (Exhibit 3, Exhibits A–H thereof); the certified hearing transcripts (Exhibit 3, Exhibits K–L thereof); and the Table of Contents of the Combined Record Appendix the Appeals Court accepted for filing in No. 2025-P-0855 on 8/27/2025, which records the same envelopes reduced to two-page docket entries (Exhibit 3, Exhibit I thereof). The compendium thereby substantiates, from the court system's own records, the systematic truncation of the parallel DV1 and WD dockets that Appellant's briefing before this Court describes as deliberate docket falsification.

5. In the twenty-two days since the 5/21/2026 stamp, no party and no court has moved to impound, strike, or seal any part of that compendium. It is, and remains, a never-impounded public court record. Over the same period, the Commonwealth's default in this Court has continued: the appellees' brief that this Court's 4/16/2026 Briefing Notice set for 5/18/2026 remains unfiled as of the execution of this Affidavit, with no motion for extension and no appearance.

III. FIRST DEVELOPMENT: THE 5/21/2026 SAME-DAY E-FILING RULE
REVERSALS

6. On 5/20/2026, between 2:19 PM and 2:27 PM, Appellant e-filed the same bundle (Second Amended Notice of Appeal, Rule 60(a) Motion for Permission, Motion to Correct the Record, and Certificate of Service) in parallel on each of the three Family Court dockets — Envelopes 4954335 ([REDACTED]), 4954369 ([REDACTED]), and 4954410 ([REDACTED]) — as the same-day backup timestamp to the hand-delivery described in ¶ 3.
7. The Clerk's Office then applied, to those identical parallel papers, a different rule nearly every time it touched them (verbatim quotations from the Tyler/Odyssey rejection notices, Exhibit 2, pp. 23–28; Exhibit 3, pp. 44–46):
- (a) **5/20/2026, 3:42 PM (DV1, Envelope 4954335):** "Motion for permission will be accepted and docketed, other pleadings will be printed out until motion is acted on" — the established internal-routing treatment this Court's record already documents from the 5/14/2026 filings.
- (b) **5/21/2026, 8:23–8:24 AM (both WD dockets, Envelopes 4954410 and 4954369):** the same papers now rejected outright on a new ground: "appeals can not be efiled and certificate of service needs to be corrected cause the appeal would not be filed with the other documents."

- (c) **5/21/2026, 11:51–11:56 AM (resubmitted Envelopes 4957749, 4957798, 4957827)**: rejected again, the rule now restated as: "appeals can not be efiled, either mail into court or bring in person" (DV1) and "any pleadings regarding appeals cannot be e-filed- only mailed or brought in person" (both WD dockets).
- (d) **5/21/2026, 3:49–3:58 PM (Envelopes 4959695, 4959741, 4959793)**: the identical papers, resubmitted without the notices of appeal, were accepted in part — all three Motions for Permission were docket-stamped (5/21/2026, 3:49, 3:54, and 3:58 PM respectively) — while the substantive Motion to Correct payload drew the routine internal-routing notation: "printed will be sent with permission to file" (DV1) and "pleadings will be printed out and sent to the case manager" (both WD dockets).
8. This is not the first reversal. On 3/6/2026, the same e-filing system rejected Appellant's First Amended Notices of Appeal with "Notice of appeal cannot be e-filed"; the Family Court then docketed all three notices on 3/9/2026, within two days of the Massachusetts Appeals Court's Rule 4(a)(1)(B) relay. And on 4/28/2026, the same Clerk's Office rejected even Appellant's three-page notice of updated mailing address with "Motion for permission must be allowed prior to these going on the docket" (Exhibit 1, p. 2) — a permission requirement applied to a bare address-change notice.

9. The sequel completes the pattern. On 5/28/2026, when Appellant e-filed the permission-gated Supplemental Affidavits cross-filed from this Court's record (the state-court counterparts of Doc 00118452547), the three gating Motions for Permission were again docket-stamped on all three dockets (5/28/2026, 11:27, 11:31, and 11:34 AM), while the substantive halves — the Supplemental Affidavits and their Certificates of Service — were rejected with no stated reason at all, each notice reciting only: "No rejection comment was provided. Please contact the court into which you are filing for more information." (Envelopes 4976946, 4976981, 4977004; rejection notices of 5/28/2026 at 11:52 AM and 1:46 PM, and of 5/29/2026 at 8:26 AM.) The written "printing out" narration that Appellant had documented as evidence in the 5/21/2026 hand-delivered bundle thus stopped appearing the week after that bundle was stamped received. The docket-stamped Motions for Permission and the four no-comment rejection notices are at Exhibit 2, pp. 140–162 (rejection notices at pp. 156–159).
10. The net effect is mechanical and one-directional: whichever rule is announced on a given morning, the substantive record — the same record the never-impounded compendium proves was truncated on every prior attempt — cannot be placed intact on the Family Court dockets through any rule-compliant channel. The Second Amended Notices of Appeal reached the Family Court at

all only through the Massachusetts Appeals Court's Rule 4(a)(1)(B) relay channel, exactly as in March 2026.

IV. SECOND DEVELOPMENT: THE 6/11/2026 RETURN OF THE REGISTRY'S 6/8/2026 MAILING TO THE DEMOLISHED STRUCTURE

11. On 6/11/2026 at 4:38 PM EDT, Ms. Janet Qin emailed Appellant: "I received another mail addressed to you at my home address. Could you please update the sender's information and ensure that the mail is not sent to me again? I visited the post office today and returned the mail. See attached." Her photograph of the envelope, and Appellant's same-day 5:00 PM EDT forwarding email to the Register, the AGO, DOR/CSE, opposing parties, and the U.S. Attorney's Office (Civil Rights), are attached as Exhibit 1, pp. 30–31. Ms. Qin is the same person who, on 5/11/2026, handed Appellant the Registry's 5/8/2026-metered envelope containing the 4/21/2026 and 4/23/2026 denial orders — the prior instance of this same mailing practice, already on this Court's record.
12. The photographed envelope (Exhibit 1, p. 31) shows: the printed return-address block of "Tara E. DeCristofaro, Register, Middlesex Probate & Family Court, Lowell Justice Center, 370 Jackson Street, 5th Floor, Lowell, MA 01852," with the printed legend "RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED"; a Pitney Bowes postage meter impression (No. 0008026797, ZIP 01852) dated "JUN 08 2026" in the amount of \$1.03 first-class — multi-ounce postage indicating a multi-

page enclosure; a hand-addressed destination reading "Imre Kifor, ^[demolished house mailbox]

Newton Upper Falls, MA 02464"; the handwritten notation "Person doesn't live at this address," with a second handwritten notation dated "6/11/26" (its first word reading "Returned" or "Received"); and a handwritten "RTS" (return to sender) marking.

13. The structure at ^[demolished house mailbox] in Newton was demolished on 2/12/2025.

Appellant's only deliverable mailing address — Bristol Lodge Men's Shelter, PO Box 541095, Waltham, MA 02453 — has been noticed to the Registry repeatedly, including by the 4/27/2026 written notice to the Register that the Clerk's Office rejected the next morning (¶ 8; Exhibit 1, pp. 1–6), by the Registry-stamped 4/28/2026 hand-delivery certificate docketed on all three dockets, and by the address-correction prayer of the Rule 60(a) Motion to Correct the Record whose gating Motions for Permission this same Registry docket-stamped on 5/21/2026 and 5/28/2026 (¶¶ 7(d), 9). Every other actor in these matters — this Court, the U.S. District Court, the Supreme Court of the United States (mailing on 5/14 and receiving on 5/22/2026), the Massachusetts Appeals Court, the AGO, DOR/CSE, the transcription vendor, and opposing parties — corresponds with Appellant at the PO Box (or directly via email). Only the Family Court Registry continues to mail to the demolished structure.

14. Appellant has never received, opened, or seen the contents of the 6/8/2026 envelope; it was returned to sender unopened. Given the Registry's established practice of mailing dispositions of Motions for Permission (the 2/27/2026, 3/3/2026, and 3/10/2026 mailings on this Court's record), and the six Motions for Permission docket-stamped on 5/21/2026 and 5/28/2026 and pending before the Justices, the envelope plausibly contains dispositions or other appeal-related orders on the three parallel dockets. Appellant accordingly preserves, for all appellate-clock purposes and consistent with Mass. R. App. P. 4(a)(2)(B), the contention that no appeal period can validly commence by a mailing to a demolished/undeliverable structure that was returned to its sender unopened, and that Appellant's first constructive notice that the envelope existed — though not of its contents, which remain unknown — is 6/11/2026.

15. Because the envelope's own printed legend is "RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED," the returned envelope — bearing the notation "Person doesn't live at this address" — travels back to the Registry itself. The Registry is therefore now in physical possession of contemporaneous, third-party documentary confirmation that its address of record for Appellant is undeliverable, in addition to the repeated written notices described in ¶ 13.

V. MATERIALITY TO THIS COURT

16. Appellant respectfully requests that this Court take note of the foregoing for purposes of this Court's record only; no relief is sought herein. The two developments are reported because they continue, with the court system's own documents, the precise pattern on which Appellant's pending Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal (Doc 00118445745 / Entry ID 6809309) and principal brief rest: a state trial court that (a) keeps the substantiating record off its own dockets by rules that reverse from one envelope to the next, and (b) directs its mailings — possibly including appeal-triggering orders — to an address it has been told repeatedly, and is now told by the returned envelope itself, cannot receive them. The Commonwealth's 5/18/2026 appellees' brief default remains uncured.

VI. EXHIBITS

17. Attached hereto and incorporated by reference:

Exhibit 1 — Undeliverable Address (31 pages, Bates EXHIBIT 1 - 000001 through 000031): the documentary chronology of Appellant's address-of-record notices and the Registry's mailing practice, including the 4/27/2026 notice of updated mailing address and its 4/28/2026 rejection (pp. 1–6), the 5/4/2026 SCOTUS record-supplementation letter (pp. 7–16), the 5/13–5/14/2026 permission filings (pp. 17–29), and the 6/11/2026 email of Ms. Qin with the photographed returned envelope (pp. 30–31).

Exhibit 2 — Sabotaged Appeals (162 pages, Bates EXHIBIT 2 - 000001 through 000162): the 5/20/2026 cover letter and parallel Second Amended Notices of Appeal; the six 5/20–5/21/2026 Tyler/Odyssey rejection notices quoted at ¶ 7 (pp. 23–28); the Appeals-Court-stamped "Shared Exhibits to the Parallel Notices of Appeal" (stamp at p. 31); and the 5/28–5/29/2026 cross-filing record described at ¶ 9 — the docket-stamped Motions for Permission and the four "No rejection comment was provided" rejection notices (pp. 140–162; rejection notices at pp. 156–159).

Exhibit 3 — Falsified Dockets (437 pages, Bates EXHIBIT 3 - 000001 through 000437): the parallel Rule 60(a) Motions for Permission and Motions to Correct the Record with their Exhibits A–O — the Tyler/Odyssey "Filing Submitted" and "Filing Rejected" receipts for the 2025 admission-set envelopes, certified-transcript excerpts, and the Combined Record Appendix Table of Contents — and the Appeals-Court-stamped "Shared Exhibits to the Parallel Motions to Correct the Record" (stamp at pp. 47–48).

VII. SERVICE

18. Appellant is this day serving copies of this Status Affidavit (with Exhibits 1–3) by electronic mail on counsel of record for the appellees and on opposing parties: Andrea Joy Campbell, Attorney General of Massachusetts, c/o Katherine B. Dirks (katherine.dirks@mass.gov), J. David Hampton

(david.hampton@mass.gov), and Joseph P. Lucia (joseph.lucia@mass.gov);

Leah B. Foley, U.S. Attorney for the District of Massachusetts (DOJ Civil

Rights Division), at USAMA.CivilRights@usdoj.gov; Massachusetts

Department of Revenue, Child Support Enforcement, at

[REDACTED], c/o [REDACTED] at [REDACTED]

[REDACTED], Esq. (counsel for [REDACTED]), at [REDACTED];

and [REDACTED], Pro Se, at [REDACTED].

19. Service is by electronic mail due to forced indigency, which the U.S. District Court (Hon. Angel Kelley, J.) adjudicated on 3/25/2026 by allowing Appellant's motion to proceed in forma pauperis in No. 1:25-cv-11831-AK.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 12, 2026.

/s/ Imre Kifor

Imre Kifor, Pro Se

Bristol Lodge Men's Shelter

PO Box 541095

Waltham, MA 02453

(forcibly indigent — only deliverable

mailing address available to Appellant)

ikifor@gmail.com

(857) 340-8699

(by the federal Lifeline program)

I have no valid driver's license

<https://quantapix.com> and <https://femfas.net>

<https://github.com/quantapix>

<https://www.youtube.com/@Quantapix>

EXHIBITS INDEX

Kifor v. Commonwealth of Massachusetts, et al., No. 26-1346 — Appellant's Status Affidavit of June 12, 2026

Exhibit 1 — Undeliverable Address (31 pages)

The documentary chronology of Appellant's address-of-record notices and the Family Court Registry's mailing practice.

Pages	Contents
1–2	Tyler/Odyssey receipts for Appellant's 4/27/2026 Notice filing, Envelope 4871572: "Filing Submitted" (4/27/2026, 5:33 PM); "Filing Rejected" (4/28/2026, 8:32 AM) — "Motion for permission must be allowed prior to these going on the docket."
3–6	The 4/27/2026 written Notice of Updated Mailing Address of Record to the Register, DOR/CSE, and the Attorney General, covering all parallel state and federal dockets; docket-stamped copy (MI11W1147WD, "Date Filed: 4/27/2026 5:42 PM") at p. 6.
7	Office of the Clerk, Supreme Court of the United States — 5/14/2026 letter returning Appellant's record-supplementation papers "in light of the dismissal" of No. 25-6878, addressed to Appellant at the Bristol Lodge PO Box.
8–14	The returned 5/4/2026 record-supplementation letter to the Clerk of the Supreme Court (No. 25-6878), with its single-page reference appendix and certificate of service.
15–16	Related email records: 5/3/2026 service email of the record-supplementation mailing; 4/29/2026 job-applications record email (3,050+ applications since 2019).
17–29	The May 2026 cover letter and parallel Motions for Permission to file Renewed Complaints for Modification, e-filed 5/14/2026 (Envelope 4934572): DV1 motion at pp. 19–23; parallel WD motion at pp. 24–29.
30–31	The 6/11/2026 emails — Ms. Janet Qin's 4:38 PM EDT email ("I received another mail addressed to you at my home address... I visited the post office today and returned the mail") and Appellant's 5:00 PM EDT forward to the Register, the AGO, DOR/CSE, opposing parties, and the U.S. Attorney's Office (Civil Rights) — and the photographed returned envelope: Registry return address, "RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED," Pitney Bowes meter No. 0008026797 dated JUN 08 2026 (\$1.03 first-class), hand-addressed to the demolished 32 Hickory Cliff Rd. structure, bearing the handwritten notation "Person doesn't live at this address" with a second handwritten notation dated "6/11/26," and a handwritten "RTS" marking.

Exhibit 2 — Sabotaged Appeals (162 pages)

The Second Amended Notices of Appeal, the 5/20–5/21/2026 e-filing rule reversals, and the 5/28–5/29/2026 sequel.

Pages	Contents
1–2	5/20/2026 cover letter to the Register transmitting the parallel Second Amended Notices of Appeal and Rule 60(a) motions, with certificate of service.
3–12	Second Amended Notice of Constitutional Questions-Raising Direct Appeal (MI07D3172DV1).
13–22	The parallel Second Amended Notice of Appeal (MI11W0787WD / MI11W1147WD).
23–28	The six Tyler/Odyssey rejection notices of 5/20–5/21/2026: Envelope 4954335 (5/20, 3:42 PM) — "Motion for permission will be accepted and docketed, other pleadings will be printed out until motion is acted on"; Envelopes 4954369 and 4954410 (5/21, 8:23–8:24 AM) — "appeals can not be efiled and certificate of service needs to be corrected cause the appeal would not be filed with the other documents"; Envelope 4957749 (5/21, 11:56 AM) — "appeals can not be efiled, either mail into court or bring in person"; Envelopes 4957798 and 4957827 (5/21, 11:51–11:53 AM) — "any pleadings regarding appeals cannot be e-filed- only mailed or brought in person."
29–30	The Appeals-Court-stamped ("RECEIVED MAY 21 2026") first pages of the two hand-delivered Second Amended Notices of Appeal (DV1; WD).
31–32	Stamped cover ("RECEIVED MAY 21 2026") and title page, "Shared Exhibits to the Parallel Notices of Appeal."
33–37	Exhibit A thereto: the 4/21/2026 (Cafazzo, First J.) and 4/23/2026 (Lee, J.) denial orders, with the 5/8/2026-metered Registry envelope addressed to the demolished structure (received 5/11/2026).
38–43	Exhibit B thereto: the 5/15/2026 emails of Susan M. Lobie, CET (Office Solutions Plus; OTS No. 2026-2506), transmitting the certified transcripts of the 7/21/2025, 12/8/2025, and 2/10/2026 hearings "for filing."
44–139	Exhibit C thereto: Appellant's 5/11/2026, 5:49 PM evidence-transmittal email to Middlesex Probate, the Register, the AGO, DOR/CSE, opposing parties, and the U.S. Attorney's Office, with its attachment set — including the 5/4/2026 SCOTUS record-supplementation letter, the 4/29/2026 job-applications record, the 4/27/2026 address-change notice and its 4/28/2026 rejection, and public-record GitHub commit-history captures.
140–162	The 5/28–5/29/2026 cross-filing record (appended): 5/28/2026 cover letter (pp. 140–141); docket-stamped Motion for Permission, MI07D3172DV1 ("Date Filed:

Pages	Contents
-------	----------

5/28/2026 11:27 AM," pp. 142–147); docket-stamped first page of the parallel MI11W0787WD motion ("11:31 AM," p. 148; body identical to the MI11W1147WD copy); docket-stamped Motion for Permission, MI11W1147WD ("11:34 AM," pp. 149–155); the four "No rejection comment was provided" rejection notices, Envelopes 4976946 (Affidavit and Certificate of Service), 4976981, and 4977004 (pp. 156–159); embedded Certificates of Service of the stamped WD motions (pp. 160–162).

Exhibit 3 — Falsified Dockets (437 pages)

The 5/21/2026 hand-delivered Rule 60(a) package and the Appeals-Court-stamped evidence compendium drawn from the Family Court's own records.

Pages	Contents
-------	----------

1–4	5/20/2026 letter to Chief Justice Blake, Massachusetts Appeals Court (Mass. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(B), 9(a)(2), 8(e)(1)), with enclosure list and email-service recital.
5–6	Cover pages of the 408-page Memorandum of Facts and Law as filed 5/13/2026 in Mass. App. Ct. Nos. 2026-J-0465 and 2026-J-0464 (memorandum on file in both dockets; not retransmitted).
7–14	Cover letter and Parallel Motion for Permission to File a Motion to Correct the Record under Mass. R. Dom. Rel. P. 60(a) and for Evidentiary Hearing under Standing Order 2-99(b)(2) (MI07D3172DV1).
15–20	The parallel WD Motion for Permission (MI11W0787WD / MI11W1147WD).
21–25	Affidavit of Indigency and Supplement (G.L. c. 261, §§ 27A–27G).
26–34	Parallel Motion to Correct the Record under Rule 60(a) — to restore the 437 Rule 36 admissions truncated to two docket pages, and Appellant's mailing-address-of-record — naming Justices Bhatt and Lee (DV1), with the Exhibits A–O Table of Contents (pp. 32–34).
35–43	The parallel WD Motion to Correct the Record, with the same Exhibits Table of Contents (pp. 41–43).
44–46	Tyler rejection notices on the 5/21/2026 afternoon re-file, Envelopes 4959695, 4959741, 4959793: "printed will be sent with permission to file" (DV1); "pleadings will be printed out and sent to the case manager" (both WD dockets).
47–48	Appeals Court "RECEIVED MAY 21 2026" stamped cover and title page, "Shared Exhibits to the Parallel Motions to Correct the Record."
49–81	Exhibit A thereto: Tyler "Filing Submitted" / "Filing Rejected" receipts, predecessor 143-page parallel bundle, 12/26/2024 — Envelopes 3359373, 3359406, 3359427

Pages	Contents
	(Registry comment "Remove attachments") and same-day resubmissions 3359616, 3359633 ("Will be printed waiting for approval or denial").
82–91	Exhibit B thereto: Tyler receipts, uncontested-fact sets #1–#3, 1/23/2025, seventy-nine pages each — Envelopes 3434344, 3434386, 3434415 ("Permission to file must be allowed prior to these going on the docket").
92–101	Exhibit C thereto: Tyler receipts, sets #4–#6, 2/9/2025 — Envelopes 3483504, 3483505, 3483508 ("This will be printed"; "printing out accompanying motion, affidavit, and certificate of service").
102–114	Exhibit D thereto: Tyler receipts, sets #7–#9, 3/20/2025, eighty-one pages each — Envelopes 3603148, 3603217, 3603270.
115–123	Exhibit E thereto: Tyler receipts, sets #10–#12, 3/31/2025, seventy-seven pages each — Envelopes 3634781, 3634871, 3634947 ("Please refile request under proper docket code").
124–128	Exhibit F thereto: Tyler receipt, set #13, 4/15/2025, seventy-nine pages — Envelope 3680886 (DV1).
129–142	Exhibit G thereto: Tyler receipts, sets #14–#16, 4/28/2025, seventy-seven pages each — Envelopes 3718583, 3718718, 3718805 ("everything will be printed and send to the case manager for review"; "the permission and the following pleadings will be printed out and will be sent to the case manager").
143–160	Exhibit H thereto: Tyler "Filing Submitted" / "Filing Rejected" receipts for the accompanying 4/28/2025 Motion for Relief, Envelope 3718583.
161–180	Exhibit I thereto: Combined Record Appendix, Table of Contents, Volumes I–IX (Mass. App. Ct. No. 2025-P-0855, filed 8/27/2025), including the "Erased uncontested facts" entries.
181–246	Exhibit J thereto: specimen of a parallel filing the Registry processed with attachments intact (Appellant's 6/2024 submission bearing the Appeals Court "R.A. Vol III" record stamp).
247–275	Exhibit K thereto: certified transcript, MI07D3172DV1 Motion Hearing of 7/21/2025 (Bhatt, J.; Susan M. Lobie, CET).
276–290	Exhibit L thereto: certified transcript, MI07D3172DV1 Motion Hearing of 12/8/2025 (Bhatt, J.; same transcriber).
291–322	Exhibit M thereto: certified transcript, MI07D3172DV1 Final Pretrial Conference of 2/10/2026 (Lee, J.; same transcriber).

Pages Contents

323–
341 Exhibit N thereto: the docketed Amended Hand-Delivery Certificate bearing the Registry "FILED APR 28 2026" stamp, with the docketed copy showing Section II — the itemized inventory of the nine-item, 793-page paper bundle — stripped out.

342–
437 Exhibit O thereto: the mailing-address-of-record documentation — the Bristol Lodge PO Box as used by every operative actor on the parallel state and federal dockets (USCA1 No. 26-1346; D. Mass. 1:25-cv-11831-AK and 1:26-mc-91166-DJC; the Supreme Court of the United States; the certified transcriptionist), including the 5/3–5/4/2026 SCOTUS record-supplementation set and the 4/27/2026 address notices with the 4/28/2026 rejection.