



Imre Kifor <ikifor@gmail.com>

26-1346 Kifor v. Commonwealth of Massachusetts, et al "Status Report"

CA01NoticeDocketActivity@ca1.uscourts.gov <CA01NoticeDocketActivity@ca1.uscourts.gov>

Wed, May 27, 2026 at
4:03 PM

To: ikifor@gmail.com

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing.

United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit

Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was entered on 05/27/2026 at 4:03:13 PM Eastern Daylight Time and filed on 05/27/2026

Case Name: Kifor v. Commonwealth of Massachusetts, et al

Case Number: 26-1346

Document(s): <https://ecf.ca1.uscourts.gov/docs1/00108452547?uid=25d0c40b8814c6bf>

Docket Text:

STATUS report filed by Appellant Imre Kifor. Served on 05/27/2026. [26-1346] (IK)

Notice will be electronically mailed to:

Imre Kifor

Notice will not be electronically mailed to:

Andrea J. Campbell
MA Attorney General's Office
1 Ashburton Pl
20th Fl
Boston, MA 02108-0000

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

666 Document Description: Main Document

Original Filename: 04-Supplemental-Affidavit.pdf

Electronic Document Stamp:

[STAMP acecfStamp_ID=1104935054 [Date=05/27/2026] [FileNumber=6812935-0] [13bd1d5b9125dc7dc3387594bada2669ac4cbab5bc4f9ef9e049d38fe748ce58c881e66518c509d4a950bf55cbf93aeae9f688bfab4fda56f48113199b06c5f7]]

666 Document Description: Exhibits A and B

Original Filename: Exhibits-A-and-B.pdf

Electronic Document Stamp:

[STAMP acecfStamp_ID=1104935054 [Date=05/27/2026] [FileNumber=6812935-1] [331eab8ccc60ea4306be33d88096bb8a87e39dfa2849aea62774ac7c24df6a21d41e93191354c025b464aa075d68da0f8594b4e3e1adfac2d89d21b1ee03fc9]]

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIRST CIRCUIT

IMRE KIFOR,

Appellant,

v.

THE COMMONWEALTH OF
MASSACHUSETTS, et al.,

Appellees.

No. 26-1346

(On Appeal from the U.S. District
Court for the District of Mass., No.
1:25-cv-11831-AK, Kelley, J.)

**APPELLANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL AFFIDAVIT ON PUBLIC-SERVICE
EFFORTS AND THE PUBLIC DONATION DRIVE (FISCAL-
SPONSORSHIP PIVOT AND FEDERAL-POSTURE UPDATES)**

I, Imre Kifor, the pro se Appellant in the above-captioned matter, declare and state on personal knowledge under 28 U.S.C. § 1746 as follows:

I. IDENTITY AND REFERENCE TO THE UNDERLYING AFFIDAVIT

1. I am Imre Kifor, the pro se Appellant in U.S. Court of Appeals for the First Circuit No. 26-1346 and the pro se Plaintiff in Mass. Probate and Family Court (Middlesex Division) dockets [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] I make this Supplemental Affidavit on personal knowledge in support of my pending Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal in No. 26-1346

(Doc 00118445745, filed 5/12/2026) and of my parallel Renewed Complaints for Modification in dockets [REDACTED] & [REDACTED]

2. This Supplemental Affidavit supplements my Affidavit on Public-Service Efforts and the Public Donation Drive filed in this Court on 5/12/2026 at Doc 00118445747 (Entry ID 6809309), and the parallel state-court filings of the same body on 5/14/2026 in the three Mass. Probate and Family Court dockets identified in ¶ 1 above. I refer to that affidavit below as "the Underlying Affidavit." Paragraph references that follow are to numbered paragraphs of the Underlying Affidavit unless otherwise noted.

II. TRIGGER FOR THIS SUPPLEMENTAL FILING

3. Between 5/22/2026 and 5/23/2026, two operational facts material to the Public Donation Drive recited in §§ III–V of the Underlying Affidavit were established on the documentary record:
 - (a) The Commonwealth's public corporate registry (Secretary of the Commonwealth, Corporations Division) reflects that QUANTAPIX, INC. (Domestic Profit Corporation, ID 452506408), of which I am the sole officer and director, was involuntarily dissolved by the Secretary of the Commonwealth on 12/31/2021 and has not been revived (Exhibit A); and
 - (b) On 5/23/2026, I submitted an application for fiscal sponsorship of the 'qagents' open-source project to Open Source Collective, a US-based

non-profit fiscal host for open-source projects that operates on the OpenCollective platform; the application was acknowledged by Open Source Collective and remains under review (Exhibit B). Open Source Collective's published review cycle for fiscal-sponsorship applications is typically five to ten business days; the present application's outcome is therefore expected on or about 5/30/2026 through 6/8/2026.

4. These facts, taken together, require an operational pivot of the Drive's funding arrangement as described in § IV below. The pivot affects only the *channel* through which donor funds are received and disbursed; it does not affect any of the substantive recitals at ¶¶ 5–8 and 12–13 of the Underlying Affidavit. The purpose of this Supplemental Affidavit is to disclose (i) the channel pivot on the record before any drive-content edit implementing it goes live publicly, (ii) the intervening 5/21/2026 appellate-record material event consolidating the three parallel state-court direct appeals (§ VI below), (iii) my federal-fee-payment posture for the next-in-line U.S. Supreme Court petition (§ VII below), and (iv) the contingent class-action-counsel-engagement option that the Drive's inflow may enable (§ VIII below); and to confirm that the recitals identified in § V below remain operative and, in several instances, are structurally reinforced.

III. THE QUANTAPIX, INC. CORPORATE STATUS

5. Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the Business Entity Summary for QUANTAPIX, INC. as published by the Massachusetts Secretary of the Commonwealth, Corporations Division, on its public-record search portal (corp.sec.state.ma.us) and retrieved by me on 5/23/2026. The exhibit recites that QUANTAPIX, INC. was organized in Massachusetts on 6/10/2011; that it was involuntarily dissolved by the Secretary of the Commonwealth on 12/31/2021; that no revival has been recorded; and that the corporation's officer-of-record address remains 95 Conant St. Unit 321, Concord, MA 01742 — another long-expired residential address predating even the demolished-and-rebuilt single-family house extensively documented elsewhere in the record on this appeal — as no longer relevantly reachable by United States Mail.
6. The 12/31/2021 involuntary dissolution is itself a record voluntarily filed with the Commonwealth and disclosed at Item 5 of my Affidavit of Indigency filed in U.S. District Court (D. Mass.) on 3/28/2026 in No. 1:25-cv-11831-AK (Doc 32-4), which recited that QUANTAPIX, INC. had "no assets, no cash, no receivables, etc. ... all records since inception in June 2011 have been voluntarily disclosed and filed with the Massachusetts courts." The Secretary of the Commonwealth's published dissolution entry is the Commonwealth's own reflection of that recital, not new information.

7. The minimum cost to revive QUANTAPIX, INC. before this Drive opens on 6/1/2026 — namely, at least the five back-due annual reports at \$500 each (\$2,500) plus a \$100 Application for Revival — is approximately \$2,600. The Drive cannot front that sum; ¶ 12 of the Underlying Affidavit recites that I have \$0.71 cash on hand, no savings, no checking account, and no credit account, and Item 5 of the 3/28/2026 Affidavit of Indigency recites that QUANTAPIX, INC. has no assets of its own from which the revival cost could be paid. Revival of QUANTAPIX, INC. is financially impossible at this time and is, therefore, not in the pre-launch critical path for the Drive, and is not funded by any of the four exclusive-use buckets recited at ¶ 6(c) of the Underlying Affidavit.

IV. THE CHANNEL PIVOT (¶ 6(e) UPDATE)

8. Paragraph 6(e) of the Underlying Affidavit recited that the Drive's channels would be "GitHub Sponsors *and* OpenCollective" and that both channels would be "linked from every banner and from each monthly ledger header." In light of the facts in § II above, that recital is operatively updated as follows: at launch, the Drive will use *one* channel — OpenCollective at opencollective.com/qagents, under fiscal sponsorship by Open Source Collective. GitHub Sponsors is dropped at launch and is not a prerequisite to the Drive opening; it may be added later if useful, but it is not relied upon for any deliverables of the Drive. Every other recital of ¶ 6(e) — including the requirement that the channel be

linked from every banner and from each monthly ledger header — remains in force as to the OpenCollective channel. I have already updated the Drive's authoritative source document (`donating/drive.md`) and the publicly-served drive surfaces at <https://quantapix.com> & <https://femfas.net> to reflect this pivot.

9. Under fiscal sponsorship by Open Source Collective, Open Source Collective is the entity of record on the donation channel; Open Source Collective holds donor funds, processes refunds, issues receipts, and disburses against per-bucket expense submissions tendered by me; the four exclusive-use buckets recited at ¶ 6(c) become Open Source Collective expense categories with no cross-bucket movement permitted; and I never have account-level access to gross donor inflow. No Massachusetts corporate wrapper, no business bank account, no Employer Identification Number, and no Stripe-Connect account is required on the ‘*qagents*’ side. Open Source Collective's specific Employer Identification Number, tax classification, and fee schedule will be verified during the present application and reported in the first monthly public ledger (`donating/ledger/2026-06.md`); they are intentionally not asserted as facts in this Supplemental Affidavit because Open Source Collective's review is not yet complete.
10. If Open Source Collective declines the application on its current review cycle, I intend to reapply to Open Source Collective in approximately one month with a materially expanded and also substantially richer open-source / AI-assisted

development record — additional shipped subprojects, broader public verification surfaces, and a longer continuous-commit history accrued in the interim from the Drive's substantive deliverables advancing on schedule, see <https://github.com/quantapix>. Only if a subsequent Open Source Collective re-application were also declined would I identify a substitute fiscal sponsor on the same exclusive-use-bucket terms. A further supplemental affidavit will be filed on the same cross-filed shape as this one, disclosing the outcome and also any consequent channel adjustment. The Drive's *work* — the open-source repositories at github.com/quantapix, the weekly digest cadence, and the monthly public ledger — opens on 6/1/2026 regardless of when the donation channel goes live; hence, the channel-live date floats and is disclosed in the first weekly digest per the language already published at § 9 of `donating/drive.md`.

V. RECITALS REINFORCED OR UNCHANGED

11. The following recitals of the Underlying Affidavit are unchanged or reinforced, not weakened, by the pivot described in § IV:
 - (a) ¶ 5 — **labor structure.** "A single developer with AI assistance" remains the labor structure. Open Source Collective is a financial channel, not a labor structure; no person other than me performs the public-service software-engineering work.

- (b) ¶ 6 — **Drive window**. The 6/1/2026 → 12/01/2026 window is unchanged.
- (c) ¶ 6(c) — **the four exclusive-use buckets**. Bucket 1 (Claude Max20 subscription at \$200 / month), Bucket 2 (Midpage Legal MCP at \$100 / month), Bucket 3 (AWS billing, variable), and Bucket 4 (federal court docketing fees, variable) are unchanged. The \$300 / month fixed-bucket floor and the \$1,800 six-month fixed-bucket total remain in force. Cross-bucket movement remains disallowed and is now enforced at the Open Source Collective expense-submission boundary.
- (d) ¶ 6(d) — **accountability cadence**. The monthly public ledger posting within five calendar days of each month-end is unchanged. The ledger now reconciles inflow and outflow against Open Source Collective's transaction record rather than against any corporate bank statement.
- (e) ¶ 7 — **no inflow reaches me personally**. Reinforced. Under Open Source Collective fiscal sponsorship, donor funds are held by Open Source Collective; I never have account-level access to gross inflow; funds flow Open Source Collective → vendor (Anthropic / Midpage / Amazon Web Services / United States Supreme Court Clerk) against receipts. The "no personal inflow" recital is therefore structurally enforced rather than relying on a corporate-disbursement pledge.

- (f) ¶ 8 — **credibility source, not income source.** Reinforced by the same mechanism. I draw no salary, no stipend, and no honorarium from the Drive, and structurally cannot, because the Drive's funds never sit in any account I control.
- (g) ¶¶ 12 and 13 — **financial capacity unchanged; Drive does not change ¶ 12.** Reinforced. My only personal income remains the federal SNAP allotment of approximately \$298 per month, usable by federal regulation only for groceries and certain household items; I have \$0.71 cash on hand; no savings, no checking account, no credit account, no telephone other than the federal Lifeline program, no valid driver's license, and no ownership interest in any real or personal property. The pivot in § IV adds the structural property that drive funds never reach an account I control, which strengthens the recital at ¶ 13.
- (h) **The redacted-input privacy floor recited at ¶ 6(b).** Unchanged. The qnarre.quantapix.com and qresev.quantapix.com endpoints will accept only redacted input documents — no real names, docket numbers, addresses, financial account numbers, or other personally-identifying information — and the redaction discipline already used for `legal/public/` staging gates every accepted document.

- (i) **The three concrete promises recited at ¶ 6(b).** Unchanged in shape: open-sourced redacted codebase refreshed at least weekly; Lean4-axiomatized federal and Massachusetts statutory base; running AWS-hosted verification service.

VI. INTERVENING APPELLATE-RECORD EVENT — 5/21/2026 HAND-DELIVERY TO THE MASSACHUSETTS APPEALS COURT

12. As an intervening appellate-record context that this Court should be aware of in evaluating the federal status of the cross-filed body recited in ¶ 2 above: on 05/21/2026, I hand-delivered to the Clerk's office of the Massachusetts Appeals Court a 389-page bundle in support of the three pending parallel direct appeals from the Probate and Family Court orders on dockets [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. The bundle comprised:

- (a) a cover letter to the Chief Justice of the Massachusetts Appeals Court, invoking Mass. R.A.P. 4(a)(1)(B) (relay of the Notices of Appeal), 9(a)(2) (assembly and docketing of the records), and 8(e)(1) (rectification of the record);
- (b) Second Amended Notices of Appeal across the three Probate and Family Court dockets;
- (c) Motion to Correct the Record and for Evidentiary Hearing under Mass. R. Civ. P. 60(a);

- (d) Motion for Permission to File the foregoing under Standing Order 2-99(a)(1); and
- (e) a 389-page exhibit compendium documenting two factual records material to the parallel direct appeals — (i) the continued and deliberate falsifying of the parallel Probate and Family Court dockets, including the truncation of the 437-item Rule 36 admissions across six successive 2025 e-filing dates (1/23, 2/9, 3/20, 3/31, 4/15, and 4/28/2025; sixteen parallel envelopes; sets #1–#16; 77- to 81-page each; truncated on the docket page to two pages), with the Tyler-receipts links remaining accessible per the receipts' on-the-record recitation that the document-download links remain active for 548 days; and (ii) the now repeatedly manifested factual evidence of the Probate and Family Court actively and openly sabotaging my efforts to appeal the parallel fraud-on-the-court-driven orders — including the failure to assemble the DV1 and WD records despite the 10/17/2025 records-assembly allowance, the failure to forward to the Appeals Court the parallel Notices of Appeal docketed since 5/2025 (and renewed on 3/9/2026), and the mailing of the 4/21/2026 and 4/23/2026 denials to the demolished/undeliverable [demolished house mailbox] address.

The bundle was stamped "RECEIVED MAY 21 2026" by the Appeals Court Clerk. The parallel same-day Tyler e-filing of the corresponding Probate and

Family Court envelopes (Envelopes 4959695, 4959741, 4959793; Motion for Permission + Affidavit and Supplement of Indigency + Motion to Correct + Certificate of Service on each) was accepted on all three Probate and Family Court dockets, and each Motion for Permission was docket-stamped accordingly. The 389-page evidentiary compendium and the related obstruction record are now part of the Massachusetts Appeals Court's record on the three pending parallel direct appeals from the underlying Probate and Family Court orders. I disclose this intervening event on the federal record because the same factual record bears directly on the relief sought in my Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal on this docket and reinforces the federal injunction's findings of irreparable harm and the likelihood of success on the merits.

VII. FEDERAL-FEE STRATEGY — DEFERRAL OF THE NEXT U.S. SUPREME COURT PETITION WITHIN THE 90-DAY WINDOW

13. The Drive's Bucket 4 (federal docketing fees, variable) recited at ¶ 6(c) of the Underlying Affidavit is operatively informed by an additional federal fact established between the Underlying Affidavit and this Supplemental Affidavit: my fifth pro se in forma pauperis petition for writ of certiorari, *Kifor v. Massachusetts*, No. 25-6878 (S. Ct.), was dismissed by the United States Supreme Court on 4/27/2026 under its Rule 39.8 ("frivolous or malicious"); my verifying affidavit on the no-PO-Box address theory was on file when the Court

invoked Rule 39.8, indicating a substantive rather than an administrative determination. To avoid triggering the Court's noncriminal-IFP bar under *Martin v. District of Columbia Court of Appeals*, 506 U.S. 1 (1992), I intend to file my next petition for writ of certiorari (the sixth — on the Massachusetts Appeals Court's 5/19/2026 Rule 23.0 disposition in [REDACTED], a discrete new lower-court judgment from a different state appellate court on a different record, expressly redirected to the Supreme Court by the Massachusetts panel in its rescript) **paying the \$300 docketing fee, not under IFP**. The 90-day filing window under Sup. Ct. R. 13.1 runs from 5/19/2026 through approximately 8/17/2026. I will therefore delay the filing of the new petition within that 90-day window until the Drive's Bucket 4 has accumulated sufficient inflow to cover the \$300 docketing fee, or to the practicable maximum within the 90-day window. This deferral is a federal-fee-payment strategy only; it does not affect the relief sought on this docket, does not affect any of the parallel state-court motions, and does not waive or alter any timeliness on the 5/19/2026 Mass. Appeals Court's judgment.

VIII. POTENTIAL CLASS-ACTION COUNSEL ENGAGEMENT

14. Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4) and 28 U.S.C. § 1654, a pro se litigant cannot prosecute a class action on behalf of others; the class-representative adequacy requirement of Rule 23(a)(4) requires representation by counsel. The factual

record on which the underlying federal civil-rights action in U.S. District Court (D. Mass.) No. 1:25-cv-11831-AK rests — selective judicial immunity, fraud on the court across the parallel Probate and Family Court dockets, deliberate non-recognition of homeless residents' mailing addresses by Massachusetts agencies, and the predicate-acts structure of civil RICO (18 U.S.C. § 1962) — implicates harms common to a class of similarly-situated Massachusetts residents and is not unique to me. Should the Drive's inflow during the 6/1/2026 → 12/01/2026 window materially and demonstrably exceed the \$1,800 fixed-bucket floor recited at ¶ 6(c) of the Underlying Affidavit, I will entertain the engagement of class-action counsel to re-prosecute the federal civil-rights / civil RICO claims as a class action — which I cannot lawfully do pro se. Any such engagement would be (a) on contingency and/or capped-retainer terms, (b) disclosed in advance in the monthly public ledger (`donating/ledger/YYYY-MM.md`), (c) outside the four exclusive-use buckets recited at ¶ 6(c) of the Underlying Affidavit — the four-bucket fixed-floor structure (Claude Max20 / Midpage MCP / AWS / federal docketing fees) is not altered by this paragraph and remains the Drive's first call on inflow — and (d) entered into only after the Drive's six-month window has demonstrably and materially exceeded the \$1,800 fixed-bucket floor. I have not identified a counsel and am not committed to any engagement yet; this paragraph discloses the contingent option only.

**IX. NO REVISION TO PRIOR REPRESENTATIONS; NO IMPROPER
PURPOSE**

15. Nothing in this Supplemental Affidavit revises any factual representation made in any earlier-filed paper in any docket.

- (a) The two trigger facts in § II above are forward-looking: the Open Source Collective application was submitted on 5/23/2026, and the Secretary of the Commonwealth's published dissolution entry has been a publicly-filed state record since 12/31/2021— already inside the universe of "records ... voluntarily disclosed and filed with the Massachusetts courts" recited at Item 5 of my 3/28/2026 Affidavit of Indigency.
- (b) The intervening event in § VI is a record-act on the Massachusetts Appeals Court's clerk-stamped record (5/21/2026), not a factual revision.
- (c) The disclosures in §§ VII and VIII are forward-looking strategic disclosures of an in-the-90-day-window federal-fee-payment posture and a contingent class-action-counsel engagement, neither of which alters any earlier-filed factual representation.

My 4/28/2026 Status Affidavit (Doc 00118437697), 4/30/2026 Status Affidavit (Doc 00118439659), 5/12/2026 Affidavit on Public-Service Efforts and the Public Donation Drive (Doc 00118445747), and 5/19/2026 Status Affidavit (filed on the Mass. Appeals Court's 5/19/2026 Rule 23.0 disposition in [REDACTED])

██████████) all remain operative; this Supplemental Affidavit supplements them with above disclosures.

16. The factual statements made herein are made for the purpose of (a) keeping the federal record current on the fiscal-sponsorship arrangement of the Public Donation Drive that supports my pending Emergency Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal; (b) supporting the corresponding state-court record of the Drive on each parallel docket through cross-filed Supplemental Affidavits of identical body and the appropriate pains-and-penalties wrapper; (c) disclosing the 5/21/2026 intervening appellate-record event consolidating the three parallel state-court direct appeals at the Massachusetts Appeals Court; (d) disclosing the federal-fee-payment posture for my next-in-line U.S. Supreme Court petition; (e) disclosing the contingent class-action-counsel-engagement option enabled by the Drive's inflow above the fixed-bucket floor; (f) confirming that the four exclusive-use bucket structure, the \$1,800 fixed-bucket floor, the redacted-input privacy floor, and the concrete deliverable promises recited in the Underlying Affidavit are unchanged; and (g) confirming that I draw no personal inflow from the Drive and structurally cannot under the Open Source Collective fiscal-sponsorship arrangement disclosed above. No part of this Supplemental Affidavit is made for any other purpose.

X. SERVICE

17. Appellant is this day serving copies of this Supplemental Affidavit (with Exhibits A and B) by electronic mail on counsel of record for the appellees and on opposing parties: Andrea Joy Campbell, Attorney General of Massachusetts, c/o Katherine B. Dirks (katherine.dirks@mass.gov), J. David Hampton (david.hampton@mass.gov), and Joseph P. Lucia (joseph.lucia@mass.gov); Leah B. Foley, U.S. Attorney for the District of Massachusetts (DOJ Civil Rights Division), at USAMA.CivilRights@usdoj.gov; Massachusetts Department of Revenue, Child Support Enforcement, at [REDACTED], c/o [REDACTED] at [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] (counsel for [REDACTED]), at [REDACTED]; and [REDACTED], Pro Se, at [REDACTED].

18. Service is by electronic mail due to forced indigency, which the U.S. District Court (Hon. Angel Kelley, J.) adjudicated on 3/25/2026 by allowing Appellant's motion to proceed in forma pauperis in No. 1:25-cv-11831-AK.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 27, 2026.

/s/ Imre Kifor

Imre Kifor, Pro Se

Bristol Lodge Men's Shelter

PO Box 541095

Waltham, MA 02453

(forcibly indigent — only deliverable
mailing address available to Appellant)

ikifor@gmail.com

(857) 340-8699

(by the federal Lifeline program)

I have no valid driver's license

<https://quantapix.com> and <https://femfas.net>

<https://github.com/quantapix>

EXHIBITS INDEX

- **Exhibit A.** Massachusetts Secretary of the Commonwealth, Corporations Division — Business Entity Summary for QUANTAPIX, INC. (ID 452506408), retrieved 5/23/2026 from corp.sec.state.ma.us. Two pages.
- **Exhibit B.** Open Source Collective — fiscal-sponsorship application confirmation page ("Your Collective is nearly there!"), submitted 5/23/2026 at 2:07 PM at opencollective.com/opensource/apply and today's status pages. Six pages.